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## 1.

## About this Publication

This publication is a compilation of annual statistics on the travel behaviour of Sydney residents derived from the Transport Data Centre's continuous Household Travel Survey (HTS). It updates last year's summary report with the addition of the most recent estimates for the 2008/09 wave of the survey. Readers will note a change to the more precise way of referring to HTS data by financial year.

The annual estimates reported here are each based on three waves of data pooled and weighted to the latest estimated resident population (ERP). The 2008/09 estimates are based on the 2008/09, 2007/08 and 2006/07 waves of survey data, weighted to the June $30^{\text {th }} 2008$ ERP.

The HTS is the largest and most comprehensive source of personal travel data for the Greater Metropolitan Area (GMA) - see Figure 1 on the following page.

In line with previous summary reports the focus is on travel by residents of Sydney Statistical Division. The remainder of the report is divided into the following sections:

## Section 2 Travel in Sydney - Key Indicators

The Key Indicators summarize the main travel trends for 2001/02 to 2008/09.

## Section 3 Travel in Sydney - Characteristics

This section provides more detail on the travel behaviour of Sydney residents in text and graphs, on the following topics:

- Travel trends
- Purpose of travel
- Mode of travel
- Purpose by mode
- Reason for mode choice
- Customer satisfaction with public transport
- Public transport fare and ticket type
- Trip distance and duration
- Time of day of travel
- Profile of travellers
- Vehicle use


## Section 4 Detailed Tables

Comprehensive statistics for each of the above topics are provided in Section 4, including historical data and long term growth rates.

## Appendices

This section contains background information about the HTS, its methodology, statistical validity and data items, including a glossary of terms and definitions to further clarify the concepts used in this report. Information is also provided on other TDC publications at the rear of this report.

Figure 1 Greater Metropolitan Area (GMA)



## Travel in Sydney Key Indicators

### 2.1 Summary

## State Plan Targets

Public transport \% share for Commute trips

To/from CBD in peak hours

| $2001 / 02$ | $73 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2002 / 03$ | $74 \%$ |
| $2003 / 04$ | $72 \%$ |
| $2004 / 05$ | $71 \%$ |
| $2005 / 06$ | $71 \%$ |
| $2006 / 07$ | $74 \%$ |
| $2007 / 08$ | $77 \%$ |
| $2008 / 09$ | $76 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 2016 Target | $80 \%$ |

Across Sydney SD all day

| $2001 / 02$ | $21 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2002 / 03$ | $21 \%$ |
| $2003 / 04$ | $21 \%$ |
| $2004 / 05$ | $20 \%$ |
| $2005 / 06$ | $22 \%$ |
| $2006 / 07$ | $22 \%$ |
| $2007 / 08$ | $24 \%$ |
| $2008 / 09$ | $24 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 2016 Target | $28 \%$ |

## Trends in Travel

The Key Indicators tables on pages 4 and 5 summarise the main travel patterns for 2008/09 and show percentage change over the previous year as well as since 2001/02.

In 2008/09 Sydney residents made 16.3 million trips on an average weekday and 14.7 million on an average weekend day. Weekday trip growth slowed over the past year to $0.2 \%$, despite population growth of $1.5 \%$ for the period.

The changes in mode use and trip distance described in this report suggest a range of influences on recent travel patterns, including the rise in population and urban densities in inner areas, making alternatives to car travel more accessible. Economic conditions over the period may have also influenced trip purpose and mode choice.

## Purpose of Travel

In the 12 months to 2008/09 trips for commuting grew by $0.7 \%$ but this was slower than in previous years. Work related travel other than commuting declined however, by $0.3 \%$ for trips and $2.9 \%$ for distance.

While social and recreational trip making remained strong - growing by $1.4 \%$ - other discretionary travel declined, shopping trips fell by $0.1 \%$ and personal business trips fell by $1.3 \%$.

## Mode of travel

Over the past year there was a growth in public transport and walk trips which exceeded the average rate of trip growth, while car trips declined.

Average weekday train trips grew by $3.1 \%$ and bus trips by $2.4 \%$. Walk only trips grew by $2.7 \%$. Vehicle driver trips declined by $0.8 \%$ and passenger trips declined by $0.2 \%$.

## Kilometres travelled

Distance travelled grew a little faster than total trips but by only $0.7 \%$ for total distance and $0.4 \%$ for the average trip length. However, per capita trip distance declined, due to the faster growth in population over the year.

## Vehicles

The drop in car trips is not reflected in levels of household vehicle ownership, which grew at $2.8 \%$. Despite growth in vehicle ownership, people appear to be more selective about how often they use the car.

## Trip duration

Average travel time has remained steady. The average time spent travelling each day is 81 minutes per person. The average duration of a work trip is 34 minutes and the average duration of a non-work trip is 18 minutes.

Key Transport Indicators - for residents of Sydney SD

| Indicator |  | 2001/02 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% change } \\ 07 / 08- \\ 08 / 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR } \\ 01 / 02- \\ 08 / 09 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POPULATION | Persons ('000) | 4,067 | 4,181 | 4,218 | 4,269 | 4,334 | 1.5\% | 0.9\% |
|  | No. of households ('000) | 1,499 | 1,564 | 1,583 | 1,602 | 1,626 | 1.5\% | 1.2\% |
| trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL TRAVEL | Trips av. weekday ('000) | 15,207 | 15,757 | 15,939 | 16,263 | 16,299 | 0.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Trips av. weekend day ('000) | 13,013 | 13,686 | 14,700 | 14,581 | 14,735 | 1.1\% | 1.8\% |
|  | Trips per capita - weekday | 3.74 | 3.77 | 3.78 | 3.81 | 3.76 | -1.3\% | 0.1\% |
|  | Trips per capita - weekend | 3.20 | 3.27 | 3.49 | 3.42 | 3.40 | -0.5\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Trips per household - weekday | 10.14 | 10.08 | 10.07 | 10.15 | 10.02 | -1.2\% | -0.2\% |
|  | Trips per household - weekend | 8.68 | 8.75 | 9.29 | 9.10 | 9.06 | -0.4\% | 0.6\% |
| vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VEHICLES | Private vehicles ('000) | 2,115 | 2,315 | 2,339 | 2,388 | 2,455 | 2.8\% | 2.1\% |
|  | Vehicles per household | 1.41 | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.3\% | 1.0\% |
| kilometres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTANCE | Total travel ('000 kms) | 127,560 | 129,401 | 131,273 | 133,765 | 134,656 | 0.7\% | 0.8\% |
|  | Total travel per capita | 31.4 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 31.3 | 31.1 | -0.8\% | -0.1\% |
|  | Av. trip length | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 0.4\% | -0.2\% |
|  | Vehicle travel (VKT) ('000) | 74,091 | 74,689 | 75,614 | 76,346 | 77,022 | 0.9\% | 0.6\% |
|  | VKT per capita (km) | 18.2 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.8 | -0.6\% | -0.4\% |
| minutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAVEL TIME | Av. work trip duration | 31 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Av. non-work trip duration | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Daily travel time per capita | 79 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |


|  | trips '000s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Social/recreation | 3,359 | 3,614 | 3,670 | 3,659 | 3,711 | 1.4\% | 1.4\% |
|  | Serve passenger | 2,653 | 2,858 | 2,939 | 2,968 | 2,930 | -1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| REASON | Shopping | 2,453 | 2,489 | 2,473 | 2,555 | 2,553 | -0.1\% | 0.6\% |
| FOR | Commuting | 2,262 | 2,389 | 2,468 | 2,540 | 2,557 | 0.7\% | 1.8\% |
| TRAVEL | Work related business | 1,567 | 1,384 | 1,355 | 1,443 | 1,439 | -0.3\% | -1.2\% |
| (trips) | Education/childcare | 1,279 | 1,329 | 1,377 | 1,424 | 1,447 | 1.6\% | 1.8\% |
|  | Personal business | 1,198 | 1,221 | 1,198 | 1,192 | 1,177 | -1.3\% | -0.3\% |
|  | Other | 436 | 473 | 459 | 483 | 487 | 0.8\% | 1.6\% |
|  |  |  |  | s '000s |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vehicle driver | 7,686 | 7,952 | 7,992 | 8,080 | 8,015 | -0.8\% | 0.6\% |
| MODE | Vehicle passenger | 3,462 | 3,470 | 3,550 | 3,642 | 3,635 | -0.2\% | 0.7\% |
| OF TRAVEL | Train | 775 | 794 | 815 | 863 | 890 | 3.1\% | 2.0\% |
| (trips) | Bus | 893 | 924 | 923 | 962 | 986 | 2.4\% | 1.4\% |
|  | Walk only | 2,741 | 2,973 | 2,964 | 3,035 | 3,118 | 2.7\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Other modes | 338 | 380 | 384 | 405 | 406 | 0.4\% | 2.7\% |


|  | kilometres '000s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Social/recreation | 27,961 | 26,924 | 26,970 | 26,881 | 27,181 | $1.1 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
|  | Serve passenger | 16,016 | 16,385 | 16,728 | 16,677 | 17,364 | $4.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| REASON | Shopping | 11,812 | 12,341 | 12,564 | 13,186 | 12,490 | $-5.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| FOR | Commuting | 32,398 | 33,747 | 34,756 | 36,585 | 37,030 | $1.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| TRAVEL | Work related business | 20,522 | 20,616 | 20,611 | 21,114 | 20,511 | $-2.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| (distance) | Education/childcare | 8,392 | 9,020 | 9,606 | 9,604 | 9,951 | $3.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | Personal business | 8,252 | 8,120 | 7,827 | 7,681 | 7,780 | $1.3 \%$ | $-0.8 \%$ |
|  | Other | 1,675 | 1,673 | 1,668 | 1,729 | 1,682 | $-2.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |


| kilometres '000s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Vehicle driver | 74,091 | 74,689 | 75,614 | 76,346 | 77,022 | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| MODE | Vehicle passenger | 27,684 | 27,439 | 27,354 | 26,952 | 27,024 | $0.3 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| OF TRAVEL | Train | 13,957 | 15,113 | 15,758 | 17,203 | 16,627 | $-3.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| (distance) | Bus | 5,677 | 5,492 | 5,658 | 6,101 | 6,329 | $3.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
|  | Walk only | 2,497 | 2,623 | 2,585 | 2,602 | 2,654 | $2.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
|  | Other modes | 1,802 | 2,018 | 2,147 | 2,322 | 2,549 | $9.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |

Key Transport Indicators continued


## Table notes

AAGR - Annual average growth rate.
Unless otherwise indicated data is reported for average weekday
Table numbers are rounded, but percentages are calculated from original unrounded data.
Population and household estimates from the HTS refer to people living in occupied private dwellings.
When reporting Purpose of travel, linked trips are used, with trips to 'return home' are recoded to the main previous ('priority') purpose. When reporting Mode of travel, unlinked trips are used with the exception of walk only trips.

See Glossary for variable definitions.
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## 3 <br> Travel in Sydney Characteristics

### 3.1 Travel trends

[^0]As the Key Indicators Table shows, despite strong growth in population (1.5\%) and vehicle registrations ( $2.8 \%$ ) for the period, there was a slowing in the growth of travel demand among Sydney residents over the year to 2008/09.

Slow growth rates occurred for all of the main indicators of travel demand - total trips, total kilometres travelled by all modes and vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT).

Average weekday trips grew by $0.2 \%$ from 2007/08 to 2008/09 - well below the long term annual average rate of growth of $1.0 \%$ since 2001/02.

Total distance travelled on an average weekday grew by $0.7 \%$ over the year with vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) higher at 0.9\%.

A notable exception has been the significant growth in total passenger kilometres travelled by public transport (PTPK), which has grown steadily since 2004/05, peaking in 2007/08.

Figure 3.1 shows the growth in some of these indicators indexed over 2001/02 population, household vehicle ownership, PTKP, VKT and Gross State Product (GSP).

Figure 3.1 Travel by Sydney residents on an average weekday ${ }^{1}$


## Purpose of travel

The reasons for travel indicate the types of activities that residents undertake across the day. The relative importance of each trip purpose has remained fairly consistent over time, although the share for an individual purpose can vary slightly from year to year, depending on the broader demographic and economic context.

Figure 3.2 shows that most weekday trips are to undertake discretionary activities. The single largest trip purpose is social/recreational, followed by serve passenger (to accompany or provide a lift to someone), then shopping. The travel purposes generally regarded as non-discretionary - commute, work related business and education account for about a third of daily trips.

Figure 3.2 Purpose of average weekday trips over time


The breakdown of trip distance and travel time by purpose shows how far and how long people are prepared to travel to undertake different activities. The importance of commuting is demonstrated by the fact that while it accounts for only $16 \%$ of trips on an average weekday, it makes up just over a quarter (28\%) of the total distance travelled and a quarter of total time spent travelling (Figure 3.3).

Conversely, shopping also accounts for $16 \%$ of trips but only about $10 \%$ of both travel time and distance. This indicates that these trips tend to be short and local. Serve passenger travel shows a similar pattern.

Figure 3.3 Purpose share of trips, distance and time, average weekday


## Mode of travel

Vehicle remains the dominant mode of travel for Sydney residents. About 8 million vehicle driver and 3.6 million vehicle passenger trips are made each weekday. Vehicle travel has remained fairly stable in recent years, while train, bus and walk trips have grown at a faster rate than total trips.

Figure 3.4 Number of trips by mode on an average weekday


Travel demand can be expressed in terms of the number of trips, distance travelled or time spent travelling. Together, these measures provide a more complete picture of the nature of demand across the transport network.

For example, rail accounts for only 5\% of average weekday trips but its importance in catering for long journeys is demonstrated by its $13 \%$ share of total distance travelled and $9 \%$ of travel time on an average weekday (Figure 3.5). Walking on the other hand accounts for a much larger share of trips (18\%) and time (11\%) than distance (2\%).

Figure 3.5 Mode share of trips, distance and travel time, 2008/09


## Purpose by mode

[^1]Patterns of mode use vary depending on the trip purpose, and provide an indication of the markets for each mode.

Across all purposes, the majority of trips are by private vehicle, with serve passenger and work related business trips the most likely to be undertaken by car.

The activities most likely to be accessed by walking are social/recreation, shopping, and personal business. This is probably because many of these trips are part of trip chains, with a number of activities undertaken in the same locality.

The overall pattern of mode use by purpose has not changed significantly over time. Car still accounts for the majority of trips for all trip purposes; however a few notable trends are evident in Figure 3.6. Since 2001/02, car use has declined to some extent for commuting and social/recreation, with these trips shifting to public transport and walking respectively.

On the other hand, car use has grown for travel to education, with more of these trips undertaken as a vehicle passenger rather than walking.

Figure 3.6 Mode share of trips purpose, on an average weekday over time ${ }^{1}$


## Reason for mode choice

[^2]The reasons why people choose a particular mode of travel for a given trip purpose can be complex. Figure 3.6 (on the previous page) shows the importance of public transport for commuting.

The reasons reported by HTS respondents for using public transport as part of the commute to work have remained fairly consistent over time (Figure 3.7). The most important being the availability and cost of parking, as well as trip costs and speed.

In 2008/09 there has been a large increase in the number of respondents reporting parking - 54\% in 2008/09 compared with 47\% for 2007/08 reported in the 2009 HTS Summary Report. The cost advantage of public transport was reported by $33 \%$ in 2008/09, up from $27 \%$ in the previous year. For some people public transport is faster than driving. The reporting of this reason has remained relatively stable at around $26 \%$.

Figure 3.7 Reasons for commuting by public transport ${ }^{1}$, 2008/09 ${ }^{2}$


For those who commuted by car only, the convenience and independence afforded by private vehicle is still the most important reason given, growing from $44 \%$ to $47 \%$ over the past year. Obviously those who drive to work also have access to parking and for some, vehicle costs are employer subsidised.

The most frequently reported public transport constraints were the indirectness of services ( $38 \%$ up from $26 \%$ ) and slowness ( $24 \%$ up from 18\%).

Figure 3.8 Reasons for commuting by car ${ }^{1}, 2008 / 09^{2}$


## Public transport customer satisfaction

Not everyone uses the same modes of travel every day, so to more accurately gauge satisfaction with public transport, respondents were asked which types of public transport they used in the last 7 days. Satisfaction with each mode used was reported on four service characteristics; frequency, safety, comfort and on-time running. Although the HTS does not gauge how important each of these is for public transport users.

Satisfaction with train frequency and on-time running has improved considerably since 2005/06. Satisfaction with rail comfort and safety has been much more stable but showed a slight decline over the year to 2008/09. Notably, satisfaction with safety is very high - at around $90 \%$ for each of the last 4 years.

Over the past few years, satisfaction with government bus services has remained fairly stable on most indicators, with the exception of on-time running, which has shown a small decline each year since 2005/06. Satisfaction with safety on government buses has been at or above $94 \%$ over this time.

Private bus users are most satisfied with safety, followed by comfort then on-time running and frequency.

Satisfaction with ferry services is high on all measures except frequency - which has also declined over the past few years.

Figure 3.9 Proportion of respondents satisfied ${ }^{1}$ with public transport


1 'always" or "mostly" satisfied.
Satisfaction data is wave based not pooled

## Public transport fare and ticket type

The distribution of trips by fare and ticket type reflects the different markets for public transport. Figures 3.10 and 3.11 show train and bus trips by fare and ticket type, and how this has changed over time.

## Fares

By far the majority of train trips are made by full-fare paying passengers and this increased from $61 \%$ in 2001/02 to $65 \%$ in 2008/09. While full-fare is also the single largest category for bus services - at more than a third (38\% in 2008/09), Figure 3.10 shows the importance of bus services for student travel to school and for the transport of other concession card holders.

Figure 3.10 Proportion of train and bus trips by fare type over time


## Ticket type

There is much greater variation in the spread of trips by different ticket types than fares, which is partly a reflection of the ticket products available for different modes of public transport.

Weekly tickets are used for over a third of train trips and return tickets for a quarter. For paying travellers on bus, single tickets are the most commonly used, followed by the fixed multiple and all day tickets. The most notable change since 2001/02 has been the growth in the use of fixed multiple tickets, such as Travel Tens for bus trips.

Figure 3.11 Proportion of train and bus trips by ticket type over time


## Distance ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Distance method updated for 2008/09 and revised back to 2006/07

## Distance by mode

Of the 134.6 million kilometres travelled by Sydney residents in 2008/09, a little over half, 77 million kilometres, was as a vehicle driver and a further 27 million person trip kilometres were as a vehicle passenger. This reflects the dominance of car as a proportion of all trips.

Figure 3.12 Total trip distance by mode


The importance of train for long haul travel is reflected by the fact that the average train trip is about twice the length of the average car driver trip. The average walk trip is just under one kilometre.

The average trip lengths for each mode have remained fairly stable, with the exception of train which has been increasing but showed a slight decline over 2008/09.

Figure 3.13 Average trip distance by mode


## Distance cont.

## Distance by purpose

Average trip distance by purpose gives an indication of how far people are inclined to travel to undertake different activities. Trips to work and for work related business are on average the longest at around 15 kilometres. Trips for discretionary purposes are about half the length of commute trips.

Average trip length by purpose has remained fairly stable over time.
Figure 3.14 Average trip distance by purpose


## Distance range of trips by mode

Of all trips made on an average weekday in 2008/09, 20\% are less than $1 \mathrm{~km}, 35 \%$ are less than 2 km and $60 \%$ are less than 5 km .

Almost 3.5 million trips of less than one kilometre are made each weekday and about $65 \%$ of these are walk only trips. A further million trips are by vehicle and about two thirds of these are as a driver. Figure 3.15 presents trips by distance range and mode and shows that vehicle use jumps for trips over one kilometre.

Figure 3.15 Average weekday trips: distance by mode, 2008/09


## Duration ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Trip duration by purpose is based on the door-to-door journey time of linked trips. Trip duration by mode is based on unlinked trip legs, which refers to in-vehicle time in the case of motorised modes.

The time spent travelling reflects the distance travelled and the speed of the different transport networks.

## Duration by mode

The longest average trip length is for train trips, at just over 30 minutes. Bus trips have the next longest average trip duration at 23 minutes. The average car driver trip is slightly shorter at 20 minutes.

Figure 3.16 Average trip duration by mode


## Duration by purpose

Commute trips have the longest average duration at 34 minutes, followed by work related business (29 minutes) then education (22 minutes). Social/recreation trips are 21 minutes on average. Shopping and serve passenger trips are both about 15 minutes in duration.

Average trip duration by purpose has not changed significantly over time.
Figure 3.17 Average trip duration by purpose


## Time of day

Graphing the distribution of trips across the day shows the strong peaks in travel during the morning, mid afternoon and evening.

The pattern of travel demand is quite different on weekends, with a much later morning start, building up over a number of hours, peaking by the middle of the day and tapering off gradually through the afternoon and evening. This reflects the dominance of discretionary travel on weekends compared with weekdays.

Figure 3.18 Motorised trips by time of day, average weekday and weekend, 2008/09


Further breaking down these trips by purpose shows that on weekdays the am and pm peaks are dominated by travel for commuting, education and to drop-off or pick up someone.

Discretionary travel, for social/recreation, personal business and shopping purposes, are more spread across the day, with a later start than non-discretionary purposes (work and education travel) and begin to decline from around 6pm.

Figure 3.19 Motorised (unlinked) trips for selected purposes by time of day, average weekday, 2008/09


## Profile of travellers

## Mode by Gender

Males and females have similar patterns of mode use - both are dominated by private vehicle use. Vehicle driver is the most common mode followed by vehicle passenger and then walking.

Females are slightly less likely to be the driver and more likely to be a passenger than males. Females are also more likely to walk than males. Rates of train and bus use are similar for both groups.

This general pattern has remained consistent since 2001/02, but with a gradual shift away from the dominance of men behind the wheel and towards men walking more.

Figure 3.20 Mode share of trips by gender

unlinked trips except for 'walk only'

## Mode by Age

Patterns of mode use change with age. After the age of 10, car use increases until the fifties and then declines. Walking shows the reverse pattern, decreasing until the fifties then growing. Public transport use is highest for those in their teens and twenties and those aged over 70 years.

From 2001/02 to 2008/09 children and teenagers walked less but adults of most age groups walked more. The share of trips as a car driver fell slightly for most groups of driving age but mostly for those in their twenties and forties.

Figure 3.21 Mode share of trips by age group


## Vehicles

## Vehicle Ownership

Household vehicle ownership has continued to grow over the last 10 years. Multiple car households continue to outgrow those with only one car.

Figure 3.22 Household vehicle ownership over time


## Vehicle Occupancy

This graph shows the distribution of car driver trips and vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by vehicle occupancy. Over two-thirds of vehicle driver trips and VKT involves vehicle drivers travelling alone. The proportion is higher for distance than trips.

The distribution of trips and distance by vehicle occupancy has not changed markedly over time.

In 2008/09, average vehicle occupancy per trip was 1.45 . For trips to work the average occupancy was 1.10.

Figure 3.23 Vehicle driver trips and distance (VKT) by vehicle occupancy
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## DETAILED TABLES
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Table 4.1.1: Total population, households and number of travellers

|  | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population ${ }^{1}$ | 4,067 | 4,101 | 4,128 | 4,151 | 4,181 | 4,218 | 4,269 | 4,334 | 0.9\% |
| ERP ${ }^{2}$ | 4,128 | 4,163 | 4,191 | 4,214 | 4,245 | 4,282 | 4,334 | 4,400 | 0.9\% |
| Travellers | 3,377 | 3,439 | 3,481 | 3,540 | 3,554 | 3,597 | 3,615 | 3,667 | 1.2\% |
| PT users - average day | 610 | 612 | 624 | 613 | 607 | 596 | 617 | 623 | 0.3\% |
| PT users - last 7 days | N/A | N/A | 353 | 687 | 1,081 | 1,064 | 1,084 | 1,096 | N/A |
| Households | 1,499 | 1,517 | 1,533 | 1,547 | 1,564 | 1,583 | 1,602 | 1,626 | 1.2\% |
| Av. household size | 2.71 | 2.70 | 2.69 | 2.68 | 2.67 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 2.67 | -0.2\% |

Table 4.1.2: $\quad$ Number of trips ${ }^{3}$

|  | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ \text { 01/02-08/09 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average weekday | 15,207 | 15,575 | 15,826 | 15,848 | 15,757 | 15,939 | 16,263 | 16,299 | 1.0\% |
| Av. weekend day | 13,013 | 13,395 | 13,478 | 13,680 | 13,686 | 14,700 | 14,581 | 14,735 | 1.8\% |
| Average day | 14,580 | 14,952 | 15,155 | 15,229 | 15,165 | 15,585 | 15,783 | 15,852 | 1.2\% |
| Av weekday AM peak | 3,087 | 3,187 | 3,235 | 3,247 | 3,267 | 3,368 | 3,474 | 3,513 | 1.9\% |
| Total weekly ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F}$ ) | 76,034 | 77,874 | 79,132 | 79,242 | 78,787 | 79,695 | 81,315 | 81,497 | 1.0\% |
| Total weekend (S-S) | 26,026 | 26,790 | 26,956 | 27,360 | 27,371 | 29,399 | 29,163 | 29,469 | 1.8\% |
| Total weekly (M-S) | 102,059 | 104,665 | 106,087 | 106,603 | 106,158 | 109,094 | 110,478 | 110,966 | 1.2\% |

Table 4.1.3: $\quad$ Trip rates ${ }^{4}$ for persons and households (average weekday)

| Day type | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | 2007/08 | 2008/09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01/02-08/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4.1

## Total Travel

1. Population reported here is derived from the HTS and is for residents of private dwellings only.
2. ERP (ABS Estimated Resident Population) is higher than HTS population as it includes people in non-private dwellings.
3. Number of trips is based on linked trips. See Glossary for the definition of a linked trip.
4. Person trips rates are per capita, that is they are estimated for the total population including those who did not make a trip.

## Table 4.2.1: $\quad$ Number of trips by purpose ${ }^{1}$

| Purpose | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social/ recreation | 3,359 | 3,620 | 3,675 | 3,716 | 3,614 | 3,670 | 3,659 | 3,711 | 1.4\% |
| Serve passenger | 2,653 | 2,633 | 2,756 | 2,781 | 2,858 | 2,939 | 2,968 | 2,930 | 1.4\% |
| Shopping | 2,453 | 2,506 | 2,492 | 2,512 | 2,489 | 2,473 | 2,555 | 2,553 | 0.6\% |
| Commute | 2,262 | 2,373 | 2,381 | 2,389 | 2,389 | 2,468 | 2,540 | 2,557 | 1.8\% |
| Work related business | 1,567 | 1,518 | 1,497 | 1,414 | 1,384 | 1,355 | 1,443 | 1,439 | -1.2\% |
| Education/ childcare | 1,279 | 1,286 | 1,306 | 1,323 | 1,329 | 1,377 | 1,424 | 1,447 | 1.8\% |
| Personal business | 1,198 | 1,195 | 1,240 | 1,255 | 1,221 | 1,198 | 1,192 | 1,177 | -0.3\% |
| Other | 436 | 445 | 480 | 458 | 473 | 459 | 483 | 487 | 1.6\% |
| Total | 15,207 | 15,575 | 15,826 | 15,848 | 15,757 | 15,939 | 16,263 | 16,299 | 1.0\% |
| Average weekend day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social/recreation | 6,295 | 6,473 | 6,439 | 6,618 | 6,651 | 7,208 | 7,163 | 7,171 | 1.9\% |
| Serve passenger | 1,879 | 1,889 | 1,901 | 1,995 | 1,999 | 2,198 | 2,131 | 2,108 | 1.7\% |
| Shopping | 3,179 | 3,300 | 3,228 | 3,155 | 3,188 | 3,377 | 3,418 | 3,494 | 1.4\% |
| Commute | 575 | 564 | 580 | 587 | 622 | 663 | 634 | 622 | 1.1\% |
| Work related business | 330 | 350 | 408 | 394 | 377 | 338 | 338 | 342 | 0.5\% |
| Education/childcare | 29 | 28 | 36 | 31 | 29 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 3.7\% |
| Personal business | 666 | 707 | 801 | 807 | 739 | 795 | 796 | 897 | 4.4\% |
| Other | 60 | 84 | 83 | 94 | 81 | 82 | 64 | 63 | 0.7\% |
| Total | 13,013 | 13,395 | 13,478 | 13,680 | 13,686 | 14,700 | 14,581 | 14,735 | 1.8\% |

## 4.2 <br> Purpose of Travel

1. Linked trips are used when reporting trips by purpose. Trips to 'return home' have been allocated to the previous 'priority purpose' See Glossary for an explanation and definitions.

## Table 4.2.2: Proportion of trips by purpose (average weekday)

| Purpose | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social/ recreation | 22.1\% | 23.2\% | 23.2\% | 23.4\% | 22.9\% | 23.0\% | 22.5\% | 22.8\% |
| Serve passenger | 17.4\% | 16.9\% | 17.4\% | 17.5\% | 18.1\% | 18.4\% | 18.2\% | 18.0\% |
| Shopping | 16.1\% | 16.1\% | 15.7\% | 15.8\% | 15.8\% | 15.5\% | 15.7\% | 15.7\% |
| Commute | 14.9\% | 15.2\% | 15.0\% | 15.1\% | 15.2\% | 15.5\% | 15.6\% | 15.7\% |
| Work related business | 10.3\% | 9.7\% | 9.5\% | 8.9\% | 8.8\% | 8.5\% | 8.9\% | 8.8\% |
| Education/ childcare | 8.4\% | 8.3\% | 8.3\% | 8.4\% | 8.4\% | 8.6\% | 8.8\% | 8.9\% |
| Personal business | 7.9\% | 7.7\% | 7.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.7\% | 7.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.2\% |
| Other | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Average weekend day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social/recreation | 48.4\% | 48.3\% | 47.8\% | 48.4\% | 48.6\% | 49.0\% | 49.1\% | 48.7\% |
| Serve passenger | 14.4\% | 14.1\% | 14.1\% | 14.6\% | 14.6\% | 15.0\% | 14.6\% | 14.3\% |
| Shopping | 24.4\% | 24.6\% | 23.9\% | 23.1\% | 23.3\% | 23.0\% | 23.4\% | 23.7\% |
| Commute | 4.4\% | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.3\% | 4.2\% |
| Work related business | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |
| Education/childcare | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Personal business | 5.1\% | 5.3\% | 5.9\% | 5.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 6.1\% |
| Other | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Table 4.3.1: $\quad$ Number of trips by mode ${ }^{1}$ (average weekday)

| Mode | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | 7,686 | 7,939 | 8,106 | 8,114 | 7,952 | 7,992 | 8,080 | 8,015 | 0.6\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 3,462 | 3,465 | 3,483 | 3,559 | 3,470 | 3,550 | 3,642 | 3,635 | 0.7\% |
| Total vehicle | 11,148 | 11,405 | 11,589 | 11,674 | 11,422 | 11,542 | 11,722 | 11,650 | 0.6\% |
| Train | 775 | 775 | 779 | 768 | 794 | 815 | 863 | 890 | 2.0\% |
| Public Bus | 558 | 561 | 555 | 562 | 582 | 579 | 592 | 598 | 1.0\% |
| Private Bus | 335 | 330 | 331 | 320 | 342 | 344 | 370 | 387 | 2.1\% |
| Ferry | 37 | 43 | 47 | 47 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 0.7\% |
| Total public transport | 1,706 | 1,710 | 1,712 | 1,696 | 1,756 | 1,775 | 1,863 | 1,915 | 1.7\% |
| Walk only | 2,741 | 2,825 | 2,905 | 2,870 | 2,973 | 2,964 | 3,035 | 3,118 | 1.9\% |
| Bicycle | 101 | 115 | 124 | 113 | 115 | 114 | 119 | 106 | 0.6\% |
| Taxi | 115 | 118 | 119 | 124 | 117 | 121 | 113 | 127 | 1.4\% |
| Other | 83 | 97 | 112 | 98 | 110 | 112 | 135 | 134 | 7.0\% |
| Total | 15,895 | 16,270 | 16,561 | 16,574 | 16,493 | 16,628 | 16,987 | 17,051 | 1.0\% |

Table 4.3.2: Proportion of trips by mode (average weekday)

| Mode | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | $48.4 \%$ | $48.8 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ |
| Vehicle passenger | $21.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| Total vehicle | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 3 \%}$ |
| Train | $4.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Public Bus | $3.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Private Bus | $2.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Ferry | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total public transport | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 \%}$ |
| Walk only | $17.2 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| Bicycle | $0.6 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Taxi | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Other | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## 4.3 Mode of Travel

1. Mode figures are based on unlinked trip legs. Ferry, bicycle, taxi and other mode legs. Ferry, bicycle, taxi and other mode
estimates are subject to high standard errors due to the small sample sizes for these modes.

Table 4.3.3: $\quad$ Proportion of trips by mode and purpose ${ }^{1}$ (average weekday)

| Purpose | Vehicle Driver | Vehicle Passenger | Train | Bus | Walk | Other ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 60.7\% | 6.3\% | 15.9\% | 7.4\% | 7.5\% | 2.3\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 79.5\% | 6.8\% | 3.1\% | 1.3\% | 6.9\% | 2.5\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 5.2\% | 50.2\% | 8.6\% | 17.1\% | 16.4\% | 2.3\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 51.5\% | 12.7\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 27.7\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 53.2\% | 15.5\% | 3.7\% | 4.9\% | 20.0\% | 2.8\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 35.8\% | 26.3\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 29.3\% | 3.1\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 56.3\% | 32.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.4\% | 100\% |
|  | 2007108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 60.5\% | 6.6\% | 15.9\% | 7.6\% | 7.1\% | 2.3\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 80.2\% | 5.5\% | 3.6\% | 1.3\% | 7.0\% | 2.4\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 5.7\% | 50.7\% | 9.4\% | 16.7\% | 15.3\% | 2.3\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 52.5\% | 13.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.8\% | 27.1\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 53.2\% | 16.2\% | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 20.1\% | 2.4\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 35.8\% | 26.1\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 29.1\% | 3.3\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 56.9\% | 32.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 9.1\% | 0.6\% | 100\% |
|  | 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 62.6\% | 7.1\% | 14.5\% | 6.9\% | 6.7\% | 2.3\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 80.9\% | 5.6\% | 3.7\% | 1.1\% | 6.7\% | 2.0\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 6.5\% | 50.5\% | 9.7\% | 16.9\% | 14.8\% | 1.8\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 52.9\% | 12.6\% | 2.3\% | 4.0\% | 27.0\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 54.0\% | 15.8\% | 3.4\% | 4.4\% | 20.7\% | 1.7\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 35.7\% | 25.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 29.4\% | 3.4\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 57.5\% | 31.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 9.1\% | 0.5\% | 100\% |
|  | 2005/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 63.2\% | 7.0\% | 14.4\% | 6.8\% | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 81.5\% | 5.2\% | 3.3\% | 0.9\% | 7.2\% | 1.9\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 5.9\% | 47.9\% | 9.6\% | 17.4\% | 17.0\% | 2.2\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 52.6\% | 12.6\% | 2.4\% | 4.1\% | 27.1\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 55.5\% | 15.5\% | 3.2\% | 3.8\% | 20.4\% | 1.5\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 35.5\% | 26.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 28.9\% | 3.3\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 57.2\% | 31.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 9.8\% | 0.6\% | 100\% |
|  | 2004/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 64.9\% | 7.6\% | 13.5\% | 5.9\% | 5.7\% | 2.5\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 82.7\% | 5.9\% | 2.5\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | 1.8\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 6.2\% | 48.2\% | 8.6\% | 17.7\% | 17.4\% | 2.0\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 53.1\% | 13.1\% | 2.4\% | 3.8\% | 26.5\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 56.1\% | 15.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.6\% | 19.5\% | 1.6\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 36.8\% | 27.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 27.0\% | 3.4\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 56.5\% | 31.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 10.1\% | 0.5\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  | 003/04 |  |  |  |
| Commute | 63.8\% | 7.5\% | 14.3\% | 5.6\% | 6.0\% | 2.8\% | 100\% |
| Work related business | 82.5\% | 6.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 6.5\% | 1.9\% | 100\% |
| Education/childcare | 6.1\% | 46.9\% | 7.8\% | 17.9\% | 19.3\% | 2.0\% | 100\% |
| Shopping | 52.8\% | 12.3\% | 2.5\% | 3.8\% | 27.5\% | 1.1\% | 100\% |
| Personal business | 55.0\% | 15.5\% | 4.5\% | 3.7\% | 19.7\% | 1.6\% | 100\% |
| Social/recreation | 37.2\% | 27.3\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 26.6\% | 3.4\% | 100\% |
| Serve passenger | 56.8\% | 31.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 10.0\% | 0.4\% | 100\% |

Table 4.3.4: Reasons for travelling to work by public transport, weekdays 2008/09

| Reason | Percent $^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Avoids parking problems | $54 \%$ |
| Cheaper | $33 \%$ |
| Faster | $26 \%$ |
| Do not have a car | $24 \%$ |
| Less stressful than other forms | $18 \%$ |
| Live or work close to public transport | $18 \%$ |
| Don't drive/no licence | $14 \%$ |
| Arrives closer to destination | $13 \%$ |
| Enjoy time to read and relax | $11 \%$ |
| Environmental reasons | $8 \%$ |
| Car used by someone else | $8 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ |
| Employer assistance in public transport costs | $2 \%$ |

Table 4.3.5: Reasons for travelling to work by work car, weekdays 2008/09

| Reason | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Prefer convenience/independence of car | $47 \%$ |
| PT services are indirect | $38 \%$ |
| PT services are too slow | $24 \%$ |
| PT doesn't go where required | $17 \%$ |
| Use car for work trips | $15 \%$ |
| PT timetable constraints | $13 \%$ |
| Employer provides/subsidises car/parking | $12 \%$ |
| PT is unavailable here | $12 \%$ |
| PT services are too infrequent | $12 \%$ |
| Use car for other non-work trips | $11 \%$ |
| PT services are unreliable | $7 \%$ |
| Carpooling arrangements | $6 \%$ |
| PT uncomfortable | $3 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ |

Table 4.3.6: Satisfaction by mode - Proportion of respondents "always" or "mostly"² satisfied

| Mode | Year $^{3}$ | On time | Safe | Comfortable | Frequent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Train | $2003 / 04$ | $66 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
|  | $2004 / 05$ | $51 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
|  | $2005 / 06$ | $67 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | $80 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $86 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Govt. bus | $2008 / 09$ | $86 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
|  | $2003 / 04$ | $66 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
|  | $2004 / 05$ | $69 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
|  | $2005 / 06$ | $68 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | $66 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $64 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | $2008 / 09$ | $63 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Private bus | $2003 / 04$ | $75 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | $2004 / 05$ | $78 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
|  | $2005 / 06$ | $75 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | $71 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $73 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
|  | $2008 / 09$ | $71 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Ferry | $2003 / 04$ | $95 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
|  | $2004 / 05$ | $92 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
|  | $2005 / 06$ | $90 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
|  | $2006 / 07$ | $90 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
|  | $2007 / 08$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
|  | $2008 / 09$ | $91 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Respondents could give more than one response, therefore percentages add to more than 100\%.
2. For the wording of the customer satisfaction questions please refer to the Glossary.
3. Responses are reported for single waves of data (i.e. unpooled).

## Table 4.3.7: Public transport ${ }^{1}$ fare type, average weekday 2001/02 to 2008/09

| Fare type | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Train |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Fare | 61.0\% | 61.9\% | 62.7\% | 61.8\% | 62.4\% | 61.5\% | 62.5\% | 64.7\% |
| Child Fare | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% |
| Free School Pass | 8.6\% | 7.6\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 8.5\% | 7.6\% |
| Free Fare Other | 4.7\% | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 4.5\% |
| Concession- Pensioner/Aged | 8.3\% | 7.7\% | 6.7\% | 7.0\% | 7.2\% | 8.2\% | 7.6\% | 6.9\% |
| Concession - Student and Other | 15.1\% | 16.4\% | 16.7\% | 16.2\% | 15.7\% | 16.3\% | 16.6\% | 14.6\% |
| Other Fare | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Fare type not provided | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

1. Ticket type is collected for all public transport modes but only train and bus are reported here.

## Table 4.3.8: Public transport ticket type, average weekday 2001/02-2008/09

| Ticket type | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | $2007 / 08$ | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Train |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | 11.5\% | 10.3\% | 10.2\% | 9.3\% | 9.2\% | 9.0\% | 9.3\% | 9.9\% |
| Return | 23.0\% | 24.4\% | 24.4\% | 25.5\% | 26.0\% | 27.1\% | 26.6\% | 25.3\% |
| Daily | 7.8\% | 8.8\% | 8.0\% | 7.8\% | 7.2\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.0\% |
| Weekly | 37.9\% | 37.6\% | 37.9\% | 36.2\% | 36.4\% | 36.2\% | 37.5\% | 37.1\% |
| Quarterly/Yearly | 2.8\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.4\% |
| Fixed multiple trips | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Free travel | 13.3\% | 11.6\% | 12.8\% | 13.7\% | 13.5\% | 12.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.1\% |
| Other | 3.2\% | 3.6\% | 3.1\% | 3.3\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.9\% |
| Ticket type not provided | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |


| Ticket type | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Single |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return | $26.5 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| Daily | $3.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Weekly | $12.3 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Quarterly/Yearly | $10.2 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Fixed multiple trips | $1.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Free travel | $14.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| Other | $29.2 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ |
| Ticket type not provided | $2.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 4.4.1: $\quad$ Distance ${ }^{1}$ travelled by day type (average weekday)


## Table 4.4.2: Distance travelled by mode (average weekday)

| Mode | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ \text { 01/02-08/09 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total distance ('000 km) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | 74,091 | 75,948 | 76,127 | 76,416 | 74,689 | 75,614 | 76,346 | 77,022 | 0.6\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 27,684 | 27,184 | 27,062 | 27,704 | 27,439 | 27,354 | 26,952 | 27,024 | -0.3\% |
| Train | 13,957 | 13,745 | 14,141 | 13,713 | 15,113 | 15,758 | 17,203 | 16,627 | 2.5\% |
| Bus | 5,677 | 5,524 | 5,198 | 5,237 | 5,492 | 5,658 | 6,101 | 6,329 | 1.6\% |
| Walk only ${ }^{2}$ | 2,497 | 2,607 | 2,612 | 2,589 | 2,623 | 2,585 | 2,602 | 2,654 | 0.9\% |
| Walk linked ${ }^{3}$ | 1,853 | 1,926 | 1,971 | 1,956 | 2,028 | 2,157 | 2,238 | 2,451 | 4.1\% |
| Other | 1,802 | 1,988 | 2,108 | 2,203 | 2,018 | 2,147 | 2,322 | 2,549 | 5.1\% |
| Total | 127,560 | 128,921 | 129,219 | 129,817 | 129,401 | 131,273 | 133,765 | 134,656 | 0.8\% |
|  | Average distance (km) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Train | 18.0 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 18.7 | 0.5\% |
| Vehicle driver | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 0.0\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 | -1.0\% |
| Bus | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 0.1\% |
| Walk only | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | -1.0\% |

## 4.4 <br> Trip distance ${ }^{1}$

1. Previously published HTS distances for 2006/07 and 2007/08 have been revised here based on an improved estimation method.
2. 'Walk-only' trips are those where the whole trip is made by walking and no change of mode is involved.
3. 'Walk-linked' trips are walking trips where the purpose is access to or egress from another mode eg. Walk trip to the station to catch the train or walk from the train upon arriving at the other end.

## Table 4.4.3: $\quad$ Distance ${ }^{1}$ travelled by purpose (average weekday)

| Purpose | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ \text { 01/02-08/09 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total distance ('000 km) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 32,398 | 33,872 | 34,061 | 33,621 | 33,747 | 34,756 | 36,585 | 37,030 | 1.9\% |
| Work related business | 20,522 | 20,481 | 19,712 | 20,056 | 20,616 | 20,611 | 21,114 | 20,511 | 0.0\% |
| Education/childcare | 8,392 | 8,093 | 8,444 | 8,961 | 9,020 | 9,606 | 9,604 | 9,951 | 2.5\% |
| Shopping | 11,812 | 12,252 | 12,144 | 12,128 | 12,341 | 12,564 | 13,186 | 12,490 | 0.8\% |
| Personal business | 8,252 | 7,551 | 8,155 | 8,171 | 8,120 | 7,827 | 7,681 | 7,780 | -0.8\% |
| Social/recreation | 27,961 | 28,696 | 27,880 | 28,133 | 26,924 | 26,970 | 26,881 | 27,181 | -0.4\% |
| Serve passenger | 16,016 | 15,778 | 16,558 | 16,388 | 16,385 | 16,728 | 16,677 | 17,364 | 1.2\% |
| Other | 1,675 | 1,702 | 1,818 | 1,747 | 1,673 | 1,668 | 1,729 | 1,682 | 0.1\% |
| Average distance (km) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 14.9 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.9 | 15.0 | 0.0\% |
| Work related business | 12.4 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 1.4\% |
| Education/ childcare | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.5\% |
| Shopping | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 0.4\% |
| Personal business | 6.8 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | -0.2\% |
| Social/recreation | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.4 | -2.0\% |
| Serve passenger | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.3 | -0.2\% |

1. Previously published HTS distances for 2006/07 and 2007/08 have been revised based on an improved estimation method

## Table 4.4.4: $\quad$ Trips by distance category ${ }^{1}$ and mode (average weekday) - 2008/09

| Mode | Up to 1km | 1.01-2km | 2.01-5km | 5.01-10km | 10.01-20km | More than 20km | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle driver | 735,614 | 1,089,637 | 2,172,070 | 1,648,591 | 1,339,104 | 1,004,378 | 7,989,394 |
| Vehicle passenger | 363,978 | 619,654 | 1,155,046 | 754,022 | 470,246 | 259,314 | 3,622,260 |
| Train | 4,576 | 34,113 | 140,318 | 140,728 | 244,005 | 324,911 | 888,651 |
| Bus | 29,284 | 133,984 | 414,318 | 246,336 | 115,320 | 45,889 | 985,131 |
| Ferry | 977 | 1,931 | 13,613 | 7,460 | 14,895 | 524 | 39,400 |
| Taxi | 3,517 | 23,847 | 42,473 | 30,150 | 16,467 | 10,732 | 127,186 |
| Walk only | 2,266,172 | 604,475 | 226,843 | 18,492 | 1,142 | 404 | 3,117,527 |
| Bicycle | 24,403 | 28,690 | 33,234 | 12,896 | 5,903 | 774 | 105,901 |
| Other | 17,157 | 20,622 | 23,794 | 18,911 | 13,911 | 8,350 | 102,744 |
| Total | 3,445,678 | 2,556,953 | 4,221,710 | 2,877,585 | 2,220,992 | 1,655,277 | 16,978,195 |

## Table 4.4.5: $\quad$ Trips by distance category ${ }^{2}$ and purpose (average weekday) - 2008/09

| Purpose | Up to 1km | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 - 2 k m}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 - 5 k m}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1 - 1 0 k m}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 1 - 2 0 k m}$ | More than <br> 20km |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commute | 169,608 | 172,858 | 398,071 | 535,553 | 630,214 | 646,606 | $2,552,911$ |
| Work related business | 171,083 | 119,281 | 246,572 | 274,043 | 273,437 | 333,283 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 7 , 6 9 9}$ |
| Education/childcare | 257,638 | 245,376 | 390,131 | 265,964 | 173,112 | 112,476 | $1,444,696$ |
| Shopping | 794,027 | 426,637 | 666,314 | 359,327 | 197,821 | 104,628 | $2,548,754$ |
| Personal business | 260,814 | 186,628 | 295,824 | 198,071 | 144,894 | 87,527 | $1,173,760$ |
| Social/recreation | 926,336 | 580,598 | 839,362 | 604,033 | 419,767 | 314,444 | $3,684,541$ |
| Serve passenger | 514,553 | 528,070 | 894,388 | 533,329 | 316,294 | 139,304 | $2,925,938$ |
| Other | 259,574 | 67,867 | 74,470 | 44,672 | 24,162 | 15,413 | 486,159 |
| Total | $3,353,632$ | $2,327,316$ | $3,805,131$ | $2,814,991$ | $2,179,703$ | $1,753,683$ | $16,234,457$ |

1. Based on unlinked trips and in-vehicle time only.
2. Based on linked trips and door-to-door travel time.

## Table 4.5.1: Time spent travelling (average weekday)

|  | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average time (mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average trip duration | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 0.7\% |
| Time spent travelling a day per person | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 0.4\% |

## Table 4.5.2: Average trip duration by purpose ${ }^{1}$ (average weekday)

| Purpose | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | $2007 / 08$ | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average time (mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-work trips | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 0.0\% |
| Education/childcare | 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 0.7\% |
| Social/recreation | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | -0.7\% |
| Shopping | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0.0\% |
| Personal business | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 0.8\% |
| Serve passenger | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 0.0\% |
| Work trips | 31 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 1.3\% |
| Commute | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 0.4\% |
| Work related business | 26 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 1.6\% |

## Table 4.5.3: Average trip duration by mode $^{2}$ (average weekday)

| Mode | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average time (mins) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 0.4\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | -0.4\% |
| Train | 29 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 1.5\% |
| Bus | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 0.5\% |
| Walk only | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | -0.1\% |

Table 4.6.1: Persons travelling on motorised modes ${ }^{1}$ by time of day (average weekday)

| Time of day | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | AAGR \% <br> 01/02-08/09 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6: 30 \mathrm{am}$ | 192 | 187 | 183 | 181 | 188 | 199 | 206 | 189 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| $8: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | 455 | 454 | 469 | 478 | 483 | 506 | 541 | 553 | $2.8 \%$ |
| $10: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | 270 | 277 | 276 | 266 | 254 | 269 | 283 | 289 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $12: 00 \mathrm{noon}$ | 247 | 249 | 250 | 259 | 251 | 256 | 260 | 276 | $1.6 \%$ |
| $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 419 | 426 | 443 | 481 | 479 | 464 | 473 | 470 | $1.7 \%$ |
| $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 420 | 430 | 456 | 467 | 461 | 458 | 470 | 477 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 193 | 200 | 197 | 197 | 186 | 186 | 167 | 175 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| $10: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | 73 | 71 | 72 | 66 | 67 | 73 | 72 | 70 | $-0.5 \%$ |

Table 4.6.2: $\quad$ Morning peak ${ }^{2}$ trips (average weekday)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | AAGR \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01/02-08/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6.3: Morning peak trips by purpose ${ }^{1}$ (average weekday)

| Purpose | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ \text { 01/02-08/09 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute | 826 | 878 | 883 | 880 | 875 | 907 | 939 | 952 | 2.0\% |
| Work related business | 345 | 328 | 314 | 303 | 299 | 311 | 344 | 338 | -0.3\% |
| Education/childcare | 558 | 563 | 568 | 580 | 586 | 606 | 625 | 634 | 1.8\% |
| Shopping | 218 | 232 | 242 | 243 | 247 | 236 | 234 | 233 | 1.0\% |
| Personal business | 144 | 147 | 157 | 155 | 152 | 141 | 147 | 148 | 0.3\% |
| Social/recreation | 275 | 313 | 328 | 322 | 311 | 318 | 317 | 347 | 3.4\% |
| Serve passenger | 711 | 713 | 730 | 748 | 777 | 831 | 850 | 846 | 2.5\% |
| Other | 10 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 6.7\% |
| Total | 3,087 | 3,187 | 3,235 | 3,247 | 3,267 | 3,368 | 3,474 | 3,513 | 1.9\% |

## Table 4.6.4: Proportion of morning peak trips by purpose

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Purpose | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |
| Commute | $26.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| Work related business | $11.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| Education/childcare | $18.1 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Shopping | $7.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Personal business | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Social/recreation | $8.9 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| Serve passenger | $23.0 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| Other | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

1. The purpose analysis uses linked trips. The trip purpose definition allocates return The trip purpose definition allocates purpose'. Refer to the Glossary for deta

Table 4.6.5: Morning peak trips by mode

| Mode | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR \% } \\ \text { 01/02-08/09 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | 1,626 | 1,685 | 1,724 | 1,740 | 1,727 | 1,762 | 1,797 | 1,804 | 1.5\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 678 | 683 | 695 | 710 | 727 | 769 | 791 | 790 | 2.2\% |
| Total private vehicle | 2,303 | 2,367 | 2,420 | 2,450 | 2,455 | 2,531 | 2,588 | 2,593 | 1.7\% |
| Train | 245 | 249 | 260 | 255 | 266 | 270 | 292 | 303 | 3.1\% |
| Bus | 262 | 273 | 273 | 278 | 285 | 287 | 308 | 302 | 2.0\% |
| Ferry ${ }^{3}$ | 11 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 1.6\% |
| Total public transport | 519 | 535 | 547 | 546 | 561 | 568 | 611 | 618 | 2.5\% |
| Walk only | 452 | 470 | 464 | 451 | 451 | 445 | 470 | 503 | 1.5\% |
| Other | 50 | 59 | 68 | 63 | 61 | 62 | 65 | 64 | 3.6\% |
| Total | 3,323 | 3,432 | 3,499 | 3,510 | 3,528 | 3,606 | 3,735 | 3,778 | 1.8\% |

## Table 4.6.6: Proportion of morning peak ${ }^{1}$ trips by mode ${ }^{2}$

| Mode | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle driver | 48.9\% | 49.1\% | 49.3\% | 49.6\% | 49.0\% | 48.9\% | 48.1\% | 47.7\% |
| Vehicle passenger | 20.4\% | 19.9\% | 19.9\% | 20.2\% | 20.6\% | 21.3\% | 21.2\% | 20.9\% |
| Total private vehicle | 69.3\% | 69.0\% | 69.2\% | 69.8\% | 69.6\% | 70.2\% | 69.3\% | 68.6\% |
| Train | 7.4\% | 7.3\% | 7.4\% | 7.3\% | 7.5\% | 7.5\% | 7.8\% | 8.0\% |
| Bus | 7.9\% | 8.0\% | 7.8\% | 7.9\% | 8.1\% | 8.0\% | 8.2\% | 8.0\% |
| Ferry | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Total public transport | 15.6\% | 15.6\% | 15.6\% | 15.6\% | 15.9\% | 15.8\% | 16.4\% | 16.4\% |
| Walk only | 13.6\% | 13.7\% | 13.3\% | 12.8\% | 12.8\% | 12.3\% | 12.6\% | 13.3\% |
| Other | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

### 4.7 Profile of travellers

## Table 4.7.1: $\quad$ Travellers by sex and mode ${ }^{1}$ for an average weekday

| Mode | 2001/02 |  | 2002/03 |  | 2003/04 |  | 2004/05 |  | 2005/06 |  | 2006/07 |  | 2007108 |  | 2008/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle driver | 4,303 | 3,383 | 4,288 | 3,652 | 4,407 | 3,699 | 4,312 | 3,802 | 4,161 | 3,791 | 4,059 | 3,933 | 4,146 | 3,934 | 4,168 | 3,847 |
| Vehicle pass. | 1,502 | 1,960 | 1,474 | 1,992 | 1,486 | 1,997 | 1,513 | 2,046 | 1,497 | 1,973 | 1,547 | 2,004 | 1,594 | 2,048 | 1,604 | 2,031 |
| Train | 407 | 368 | 404 | 371 | 395 | 385 | 398 | 370 | 415 | 378 | 432 | 383 | 444 | 420 | 471 | 419 |
| Bus | 392 | 501 | 401 | 490 | 392 | 494 | 408 | 474 | 441 | 482 | 449 | 474 | 465 | 498 | 474 | 512 |
| Walk only | 1,231 | 1,510 | 1,249 | 1,576 | 1,279 | 1,626 | 1,284 | 1,586 | 1,357 | 1,616 | 1,341 | 1,623 | 1,392 | 1,643 | 1,436 | 1,682 |
| Other | 216 | 121 | 242 | 131 | 262 | 140 | 238 | 144 | 246 | 134 | 237 | 147 | 265 | 140 | 253 | 154 |
| Total | 8,053 | 7,842 | 8,059 | 8,212 | 8,220 | 8,341 | 8,152 | 8,422 | 8,118 | 8,375 | 8,065 | 8,563 | 8,306 | 8,682 | 8,405 | 8,645 |


| Share of trips (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle driver | 53.4\% | 43.1\% | 53.2\% | 44.5\% | 53.6\% | 44.4\% | 52.9\% | 45.1\% | 51.3\% | 45.3\% | 50.3\% | 45.9\% | 49.9\% | 45.3\% | 49.6\% | 44.5\% |
| Vehicle pass. | 18.7\% | 25.0\% | 18.3\% | 24.3\% | 18.1\% | 23.9\% | 18.6\% | 24.3\% | 18.4\% | 23.6\% | 19.2\% | 23.4\% | 19.2\% | 23.6\% | 19.1\% | 23.5\% |
| Train | 5.1\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.8\% | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 4.4\% | 5.1\% | 4.5\% | 5.4\% | 4.5\% | 5.3\% | 4.8\% | 5.6\% | 4.9\% |
| Bus | 4.9\% | 6.4\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.8\% | 5.6\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 5.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Walk only | 15.3\% | 19.3\% | 15.5\% | 19.2\% | 15.6\% | 19.5\% | 15.8\% | 18.8\% | 16.7\% | 19.3\% | 16.6\% | 19.0\% | 16.8\% | 18.9\% | 17.1\% | 19.5\% |
| Other | 2.7\% | 1.5\% | 3.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | 3.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

1. Mode data uses unlinked trip legs except for walk trips.

Table 4.7.2: Mode $^{1}$ share by age of travellers on an average weekday

| Age Group | Vehicle Driver | Vehicle Passenger | Train | Bus | Walk Only | Other ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 79.5\% | 0.5\% | 3.0\% | 15.6\% | 1.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 14.6\% | 40.3\% | 8.5\% | 16.7\% | 16.8\% | 3.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 48.6\% | 11.8\% | 9.7\% | 6.5\% | 20.5\% | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 61.8\% | 7.6\% | 5.3\% | 3.6\% | 19.3\% | 2.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 67.9\% | 7.2\% | 4.6\% | 3.0\% | 15.3\% | 2.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 62.7\% | 9.5\% | 4.4\% | 3.5\% | 17.7\% | 2.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 54.9\% | 13.2\% | 3.6\% | 5.5\% | 20.7\% | 2.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 40.7\% | 17.8\% | 2.8\% | 8.6\% | 27.1\% | 3.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 2007108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 79.8\% | 0.5\% | 2.7\% | 15.6\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 14.6\% | 40.4\% | 8.7\% | 16.2\% | 16.7\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 48.6\% | 11.3\% | 9.6\% | 6.7\% | 20.6\% | 3.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 64.2\% | 7.3\% | 5.0\% | 3.4\% | 18.1\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 68.4\% | 7.2\% | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 15.0\% | 2.3\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 63.6\% | 9.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 17.5\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 53.7\% | 14.1\% | 4.2\% | 6.1\% | 19.9\% | 2.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 42.0\% | 17.2\% | 2.2\% | 8.5\% | 27.2\% | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 79.2\% | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 16.2\% | 1.2\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 14.6\% | 40.5\% | 8.5\% | 16.1\% | 16.6\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 49.0\% | 11.8\% | 8.8\% | 6.3\% | 20.8\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 65.8\% | 7.3\% | 4.9\% | 3.2\% | 16.8\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 69.5\% | 6.7\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 15.1\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 62.9\% | 10.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.7\% | 17.2\% | 1.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 52.2\% | 14.2\% | 3.7\% | 6.2\% | 21.8\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 42.9\% | 16.2\% | 2.4\% | 8.2\% | 27.1\% | 3.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 2005/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 77.1\% | 0.7\% | 3.1\% | 17.5\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 14.6\% | 39.5\% | 8.0\% | 16.4\% | 17.8\% | 3.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 48.1\% | 11.8\% | 7.9\% | 6.8\% | 21.5\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 67.2\% | 7.5\% | 5.0\% | 2.6\% | 16.0\% | 1.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 69.1\% | 6.9\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 14.8\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 63.2\% | 10.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.8\% | 16.9\% | 1.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 53.1\% | 14.7\% | 3.6\% | 5.7\% | 21.2\% | 1.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 40.2\% | 16.8\% | 2.8\% | 8.4\% | 28.7\% | 3.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 2004/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 76.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.3\% | 17.7\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 15.6\% | 40.5\% | 7.8\% | 15.4\% | 17.2\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 50.3\% | 11.9\% | 7.6\% | 6.1\% | 20.0\% | 3.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 67.0\% | 8.3\% | 4.7\% | 2.6\% | 15.4\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 70.1\% | 7.2\% | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 14.4\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 63.6\% | 11.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.5\% | 15.6\% | 1.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 55.5\% | 14.3\% | 3.2\% | 5.3\% | 19.7\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 40.8\% | 17.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.9\% | 27.6\% | 3.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 2003/04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 0.0\% | 75.3\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% | 18.6\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-20 | 16.0\% | 39.7\% | 7.4\% | 15.0\% | 18.6\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-30 | 51.2\% | 11.4\% | 8.3\% | 6.0\% | 19.5\% | 3.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 31-40 | 64.7\% | 8.5\% | 4.8\% | 3.1\% | 16.3\% | 2.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 41-50 | 69.7\% | 7.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 14.1\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 51-60 | 64.3\% | 10.6\% | 3.9\% | 3.7\% | 15.7\% | 1.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 61-70 | 57.2\% | 14.1\% | 3.3\% | 4.4\% | 19.1\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Over 70 | 42.3\% | 16.3\% | 3.3\% | 8.4\% | 27.0\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |

Table 4.8.1: Number of households in Sydney by number of vehicles

| Household vehicles | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | $2007 / 08$ | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 225 | 218 | 213 | 214 | 211 | 214 | 218 | 225 | 0.0\% |
| One | 650 | 644 | 655 | 649 | 654 | 660 | 658 | 647 | -0.1\% |
| Two | 467 | 481 | 491 | 503 | 518 | 528 | 535 | 546 | 2.3\% |
| Three or more | 158 | 174 | 175 | 181 | 181 | 181 | 192 | 208 | 4.0\% |
| Total households | 1,499 | 1,517 | 1,533 | 1,547 | 1,564 | 1,583 | 1,602 | 1,626 | 1.2\% |

## Table 4.8.2: Proportion of households in Sydney by number of vehicles

| Household vehicles | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | $15.0 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| One | $43.3 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ |
| Two | $31.1 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| Three or more | $10.6 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Total households | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Table 4.8.3: Average vehicle occupancy per trip

| Trip type | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007108 | 2008/09 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AAGR } \\ 01 / 02-08 / 09 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average weekday <br> All day <br> AM peak | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 1.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \\ & 1.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45 \\ & 1.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Average day <br> Trips to work ${ }^{1}$ <br> Non-work trips | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \\ & 1.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & 1.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & 1.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## 4.8 <br> Vehicles

1. The estimate of vehicle occupancy for work trips may involve passengers travelling for non-work purposes.

## Table 4.8.4: Proportion of trips by vehicle occupancy ${ }^{1}$

| Vehicle Occupancy | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 67\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Two | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Three | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Four | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Five or more | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Average weekend day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 48\% | 49\% | 49\% | 48\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 48\% |
| Two | 32\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Three | 10\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Four | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| Five or more | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |

Table 4.8.5: Proportion of distance travelled by vehicle occupancy ${ }^{1}$

| Vehicle Occupancy | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | $2007 / 08$ | 2008/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% |
| Two | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Three | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Four | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Five or more | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Average weekend day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% | 45\% |
| Two | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 32\% | 32\% | 31\% | 32\% | 31\% |
| Three | 12\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Four | 10\% | 11\% | 9\% | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Five or more | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% |

1. Based on unlinked trips in private vehicles only
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## About the HTS

## Survey method

## Sample design and statistical validity

The Household Travel Survey (HTS) is the largest and most comprehensive source of personal travel data for the Sydney Greater Metropolitan Area. This area includes the Sydney and Illawarra Statistical Divisions and the Newcastle Statistical Subdivision. It extends from Port Stephens in the north to Shoalhaven in the south and the Blue Mountains in the west. See Figure 1 on page 2 at the beginning of this report.

This survey is a benchmark for best practice in travel surveys in Australia and around the world, as well as being the longest running continuous household travel survey in the country. The HTS was first conducted in 1997/98 and has been running continuously since then.

Prior to the HTS, three major one-off household travel surveys were conducted in Sydney in 1971, 1981 and 1991/92. These had large samples (over 12,000 households) and used a face-to-face interview method. In 1996, the HTS was established to meet the needs of transport data users for more timely information. This is conducted by collecting personal travel data on a continuous basis. The HTS uses a similar method to the 1991/92 Home Interview Survey (HIS).

The HTS consists of a face-to-face interview survey carried out every day from July to June of each financial year. This collection method ensures high data quality and maximises response rates.

A simple travel diary is used by each householder to record the details of all travel undertaken for their nominated 24 -hour period. An interviewer then interviews each householder to collect the details of each trip. The interviewer records the mode of travel, trip purpose, start and end location, and time of departure and arrival. Vehicle occupancy, toll roads used and parking are recorded for private vehicle trips and fare type and cost for public transport trips.

Detailed socio-demographic information is also collected on the household. This includes dwelling type, household structure and vehicle details, as well as age, gender, employment status, occupation and income of individual household members. The following section lists the major data items collected in the HTS.

The sample of the continuous HTS is designed on a three-yearly cycle so that the pooling of three years of data gives a sample size similar to that achieved in the 1991/92 HIS. About 5,000 randomly selected households are approached each year to participate in the survey.

The 2008/09 trip estimates in this report are based on three years of pooled data collected from July 2006 to June 2009. A sample of 14,409 households in the Sydney Greater Metropolitan Area were approached during this period, of which 9,561 (66\%) responded. From these responding households, 24,806 people were interviewed giving a total of 105,391 trip records as the basis for the 2008/09 pooled estimates

The HTS sampling method was designed for TDC by the Statistical Consultancy section of the Australian Bureau of Statistics such that the relative standard error (RSE) decreases and the statistical reliability increases as more waves of data are pooled (Figure A. 1 over the page).

Figure A. 1
HTS RSEs Geographic level by waves pooled

## Data expansion

## Comparison over time

RSEs associated with estimates of change between years are greater than the RSEs for each individual year. Users should therefore be careful in interpreting the significance of over time changes.

## Data collected in the HTS

People and households

## Public transport use

## Vehicle use

## Non-motorised modes

## Work characteristics

Main occupation
Work schedule
Working hours \& their flexibility
Industry of employment
Employer assistance with transport
Tele-working and car pooling
Licence holding and mobility
Types of driver's licences
Reason for no driver's licence
Physical disabilities preventing or restricting use of transport

## Household characteristics

Dwelling type
Ownership status of dwelling
Number of household vehicles
Number of bicycles (adult \& child)
Structure of household
Language spoken at home
Personal characteristics
Age
Gender
Personal income
Employment status
Country of birth

| Tickets and fares | Modes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amount paid | Train |
| Fare type | Bus (private, public, school) |
| Ticket type | Ferry (private, public) |
| Multi-modal tickets | Monorail, light rail, taxi, aircraft |
|  | Trip characteristics |
| Reasons commute by public transport | Trip origin and destination <br>  <br> Parpose of trip |
| Satisfaction with PT modes used | Time of day of trip |
|  | Trip length - distance and duration |

## Vehicle characteristics

Vehicle make and model
Vehicle age
Engine characteristics
Type of registration and ownership
Type of fuel used
Reasons used car to commute
Reasons commute by car
Toll roads used

## Trip characteristics

Trip origin
Trip destination
Time of day of trip
Trip purpose
Number of vehicle occupants
Trip length - distance and duration

## Parking

Cost of parking and who pays
Type of parking used

Walking and cycling
Trip origin
Trip destination
No. working adult/ child bikes in household

Trip purpose
Time of day
Distance

Acronyms
AAGR
ABS
ERP
GMA
HIS
HTS
JTW
RSE
SD
SSD
TDC
TZ
VKT

## Glossary

AM peak or Morning peak

## Average day

## Average weekday

Average weekend day
Customer satisfaction

Average Annual Growth Rate
Australian Bureau of Statistics
Estimated Resident Population
Greater Metropolitan Area
Home Interview Survey
Household Travel Survey
Journey to Work
Relative Standard Error
Statistical Division
Statistical Sub-division
Transport Data Centre
Travel Zone
Vehicle Kilometres Travelled

Unless otherwise stated, this refers to trips arriving at their destination between 6.31 am and 9.30 am on a weekday.

Average of Mondays to Sundays. Used to calculate annual estimates, by multiplying average day by 365 .

Average of travel over Monday to Friday including public and school holidays.
Average of travel undertaken on Saturdays and Sundays.
Respondents who travelled by public transport in the seven days prior to their interview were asked four questions about the timeliness, safety, comfort and frequency of the mode of public transport they used to measure their level of satisfaction with that mode. The questions were worded as follows:
"In the last seven days did you find the <insert mode used> to be acceptably on time?"
"In the last seven days did you feel safe travelling on the <insert mode used>?"
"In the last seven days did you find the <insert mode used> to be comfortable?"
"In the last seven days did the <insert mode used> run frequently enough for your needs?"
Network kilometres travelled between the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ co-ordinates of the trip origin and destination address. See Trip Length.

TDC defined geographical area for core TDC datasets. Comprises Sydney SD, Newcastle SSD and Illawarra SD (Figure 1.1).

Number of registered vehicles usually garaged at the household overnight, whether privately or company owned.

Covers the Local Government Areas of Wollongong, Shellharbour, Kiama, Shoalhaven and Wingecaribee.

A linked trip is a journey from one activity to another, ignoring changes of mode. A linked trip may comprise one or more unlinked trip legs. See Unlinked Trips and Priority Mode.

The mode of transport used for the trip. Unlinked trips have only one mode and one purpose. Where a linked trip comprises more than one journey leg by different modes, a 'priority' mode is allocated to the linked trip based on a predetermined priority list of modes. See Priority Mode.

Trips by private vehicle, train, bus, ferry, monorail, light rail or aircraft.

## Newcastle SSD

## Population

## PM or Afternoon peak

## Priority mode

## Priority mode hierarchy

## Priority purpose

## Priority purpose hierarchy

Figure A2
Share of trips by purpose

- as defined

Newcastle SSD covers the Local Government Areas of Newcastle, Cessnock, Lake Macquarie, Maitland and Port Stephens.

Residents of private dwellings. HTS estimates are slightly lower than the ABS Estimated Resident Population (ERP), which include residents of non-private dwellings (gaols, hospitals, hotels, etc.).

Unless otherwise stated, refer to weekday trips departing between 3:01 pm and 6:00 pm.

Where a linked trip is comprised of unlinked trips that uses more than one mode, a priority mode is allocated to the linked trip according to the following hierarchy, which is generally the mode with the largest likely (but not necessarily actual) duration of the trip:

| Ferrv | Hiahest |
| :--- | ---: |
| Train |  |
| Liaht rail/monorail |  |
| Bus |  |
| Vehicle driver |  |
| Vehicle passenaer |  |
| Taxi |  |
| Bicvcle |  |
| Walk | Lowest |

TDC collects data on a detailed list of trip purposes, including the purpose 'return to home'. 'Return home' makes up about 34\% of unlinked trips on an average weekday (Figure A.2).
To give a better picture of what drives trip making, data in this report allocated 'return home' to the main previous purpose. If a person is returning home from work this trip is defined as a commute FROM work rather than a trip TO home. Return home trips with multiple previous purposes are allocated based on a hierarchy or priority. If while returning home from work a person stopped off quickly at the shops, the main previous purpose is work not shopping.

| Work | Hiahest |
| :--- | :--- |
| Work related business |  |
| Education |  |
| Purpose with the lonaest activitv time | Lowest |



Includes all motorised vehicles such as cars, 4WDs, vans, motorbikes, motor scooters, utes and trucks.

## Public transport <br> Sydney <br> Travel zone (TZ)

## Trip duration

## Trip length (distance)

Train, government and private bus and ferry, monorail and light rail.
Refers to Sydney Statistical Division, shown in Figure 1.1 on page 2.
A level of geography which between ABS Census Collector Districts and Statistical Local Areas. The basis of TDC modelling and analysis, Travel Zones cover the TDC Study Area without omission or overlap.

Travel time is derived from respondent's reported trip start and end times. Total trip duration is calculated as door-to-door travel time, including changes of mode and wait time. Trip duration by mode, means in-vehicle time only.

Each trip origin and destination is coded by TDC to an $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ co-ordinate and road network distance between these points is calculated in ARCGIS.

Where total distance is repoted is is door-to-door distance, including changes of mode. Distance by mode, refers to in-vehicle distance only.

Prior to 2007 distance was calculated between Travel Zone centroids, rather than address co-ordinates. This method was prone to overestimate distance, particularly for short trips. Distances for 1999 to 2006 have been re-estimated using the updated method and this report contains revised distance data for previous years.

Trips by children to attend child care.
The first trip to work of the day, usually from home, excluding trips to return to work. This also includes the first trip to a second job if any.

Work related trips away from respondent's usual work address. Also for respondents without a fixed work address eg. A plumber, household interviewers, etc. who work at various locations.

Trips by students to attend educational institutions - kindergarten, primary and secondary school, technical college or universities.

Home Trips to return home. This publication reports trips to return home according to the previous priority purpose. See Priority Purpose above

Personal business
Serve passenger

Shopping
Social/Recreation
Other

## Unlinked trip

Transact personal business not involving "goods" eg. Bank, library, doctor.
The purpose is to drop-off, pick-up or accompany another person eg. Man drops his children to school on the way to work, a young child "comes along for the ride" on a parent's trip to the bank, a woman takes an elderly parent to a medical appointment.

Trips to a shop, defined as premises that sell "goods".
Social visits, entertainment, sporting activities, hobbies, holidays, etc.
Trips for purposes not identified elsewhere.
An unlinked trip is a single trip leg. Linked trips are made up of unlinked trips where there has been a change of mode. If a person living in Parramatta and working in Sydney CBD who travels by train with a walk trip at either end of the train trip has made three unlinked and one linked trip:

| Trip | Origin | Destination | Mode | Purpose |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Home | Parramatta Station | Walk | Change mode |
| 2 | Parramatta Station | Central Station | Train | Change mode |
| 3 | Central Station | Workplace | Walk | Work |

## Other TDC Publications

A range of TDC publications and some summary statistics are free to download from: http://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/tdc/publications.html

## Recent Publications

Ferry Users in Sydney (2009 Release)
Employment and Commuting in Sydney's Centres, 1996-2006
2006 Journey to Work User Guide
Cycling in Sydney (2008 Release)
2006 Employment and Commuting (2008 Release)
Social and Recreational trips by Sydneysiders (2007 Release)
Train Access and Egress modes (2006 Release)

## Recent Summary Statistics

2007 Household Travel Survey SLA Summary Tables in GMA
2006 TDC Journey to Work Summary Tables by LGA Destination in GMA
2006 TDC Journey to Work Summary Tables by LGA Origin in GMA
2006 Census Data by LGA of Residence in NSW

For customised data, maps and standard products, contact:

## Transport Data Centre

Level 21, 227 Elizabeth St Sydney NSW 2000
GPO Box 1620 Sydney NSW 2001
Telephone: (02) 92682211
Facsimile: (02) 92682839
Email: tdcinfo@transport.nsw.gov.au
Web: http://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/tdc/


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note - in contrast to previous years this graph has a 2001/02 base

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Proportions of less than $4 \%$ are not labelled in the graph to save space but are reported in the tables in Section 4.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percentages do not add to $100 \%$ as respondents may give multiple reasons.
    ${ }^{2}$ Unlike data in the rest of this report, the data used for these graphs are not pooled, rather, they represent a single year of data.

